



September 11, 2002

Mr. Martin A. Hubert  
Deputy Commissioner  
Texas Department of Agriculture  
P.O. Box 12847  
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2002-5065

Dear Mr. Hubert:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 168440.

The Texas Department of Agriculture (the "department") received a request for copies of inspection reports regarding specified producers growing certain varieties of certified wheat. You claim that the highlighted portions of the requested inspection reports are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. You also assert that the request for information may implicate the proprietary interests of the producers in question. You have notified these producers of the request for information pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, no interested third party has submitted to this office any reasons explaining why their information should not be released.

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). Here, we do not address any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

However, the department raises the commercial or financial information aspect of section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. Gov’t Code § 552.110(b); *see also National Parks & Conservation Ass’n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

The department asserts that access to certain responsive information would reveal the growers’ production and marketing strategies and that

[t]he agricultural niche for producers of innovative pure genetic seeds and plants is highly specialized and very expensive. Seed and plant developers may spend millions of dollars crossing different varieties to develop a particular strain of seed or plant that suits a particular agricultural region in both domestic and international areas. Once developed, each unique seed or plant type is proprietary and belongs to the producer. One of the vital competitive advantages that a seed producer has over his competitors is the producer’s strategic use of his resources to produce new seeds and plants. Divulging how many acres a Texas producer dedicates to a particular variety of seed or plant, or plant population, estimated yield, and total yield figures, would allow competitors an opportunity to discover the producer’s production and marketing strategies, including current inventories.

We conclude that the department has demonstrated by assertion of specific factual evidence that release of information which reveals how many acres a Texas producer dedicates to a particular variety of seed would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom that information was obtained. We find that the information highlighted by the department reveals how many acres a Texas producer dedicated to a particular variety of seed. Therefore, this information may be withheld under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.*

§ 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

WMM/sdk

Ref: ID# 168440

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. David Graf  
Southern Plains Marketing Director  
AgriPro Wheat  
12161 Highway 70 West  
Vernon, Texas 76384  
(w/o enclosures)

All Third Parties  
c/o Martin A. Hubert  
Deputy Commissioner  
Texas Department of Agriculture  
P.O. Box 12847  
Austin, Texas 78711